

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 05-1548

MICHAEL J. SINDRAM,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

JOHN ZACKER,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 05-1694

MICHAEL J. SINDRAM,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

JOHN ZACKER,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 05-1718

MICHAEL J. SINDRAM,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

JOHN ZACKER,

Defendant - Appellee.

Appeals from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Greenbelt. Peter J. Messitte, District Judge. (CA-05-1205-PJM)

Submitted: September 27, 2005 Decided: September 29, 2005

Before LUTTIG, MOTZ, and DUNCAN, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Michael J. Sindram, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

In these consolidated appeals, Michael J. Sindram appeals a district court order and judgment dismissing without prejudice his civil rights complaint, denying a motion for a temporary restraining order and denying a motion for clarification and modification. In dismissing without prejudice Sindram's complaint, the court instructed Sindram as to the deficiencies in the complaint and how they may be cured. Because Sindram may refile his complaint, the dismissal order is interlocutory and not appealable. See Domino Sugar Corp. v. Sugar Workers Local Union 392, 10 F.3d 1064, 1066-67 (4th Cir. 1993). Likewise, we dismiss the appeals from the orders denying his motion for a TRO and denying his motion for clarification. Accordingly, we dismiss the appeals for lack of jurisdiction. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED